

FORM #3

College Council Member - Position Request Rationale Review Positions Submitted for FY *Click here to enter text*.

T	tle of Position Requested: Click here to enter text.						
R	equesting Manager/Supervisor: Click here to enter text.						
-							
CC Member comments regarding assessed fulfillment/alignment of submitted position with specified criteria components of: Alignment with Current LCCC Strategic Plan To score each area for Criteria I, consider how this position fits/aligns with, and supports fulfillment of specific strategy tier. If provided information clearly offers projections of how position is intended to support fulfillment and rationale adequately explains fit/alignment, score highest available point value (highest point value varies with each identified tier); if rationale provided is lacking in strength, score fewer value points and if unclear as to alignment at all, score = 0							
ooin		varac					
	Tier One Strategies (Highest Drievity Strategies)		* Total Scoring possible for this section =10 points.				
. A.	Tier One Strategies (Highest Priority Strategies):						
	1. G-2 B. iiiArticulate the new Core with UW		8. G-1 E. iImplement a LCCC Core				
	2. G-1 B. iii–Implement academic plan		9. G-4 A. iConstruct new buildingsservices/instruction				
	3. G-3 D. i–Develop policies for academic affairs		10. G-3 B. iImplement intensive first-year experience				
	4. G-1 B. i–Design mandatory orientation program		11. G-1 B. iiDeliver a strong holistic advising system				
	5. G-1 D. ivPublish program curricula to show progression		12. G-1 F. ivDevelop tech-based mechanism to assess				
	6. G-3 C. iiRevamp program review protocol		13. G-2 A. iiCreate curriculum articulation H.S. groups				
	7. G-1 D. iiRedesign developmental coursework		14. G-1 D. iiiRedesign academic programs				
			15. G-4 D. iImprove signage on campus				
Click	k here to enter text.		Score 0, 1, 2, 3, or 4				
l. B.	Tier Two Strategies (High Priority Strategies):						
	16. G-2 A. iiiGrow dual enrollment (NACEP) stds.		23. G-1 F. iiiBuild on institutional learn outcomes				
	17. G-1 B. ivCreate a first-year success course		24. G-2 A. iEstablishment of a (BOCHES)				
	18. G-1 F. iiEstablish program learning outcomes		25. G-3 B. iiiEstablish path to faculty status				
	19. G-1 F. iEstablish common learning outcomes		26. G-4 B. iLibrary Learning Commons.				

			T =	1			
	20. G-4 A. iiConstruct industrial tech. building		27. G-3 A. iiiPerformance management systems				
	21. G-2 B. i–Program articulation agreements		28. G-4 D. iiFinalize exterior lighting projects.				
	22. G-1 E. iiapplied programs & institutional outcomes		29. G-2 B. iiExpand reverse transfer system				
			30. G-3 C. iInstitutional planning framework				
Click here to enter text.							
			Score 0, 1, 2, or 3	U			
I. C. 1	Fier Three Strategies (Moderate Priority Strategio	es):					
	31. G-3 D. iiUpdating & adding HR policies		38. G-3 A. iNew employee onboarding				
	32. G-4 D. iiiConstruct campus gateways		39. G-1 B. vLearning communities for at-risk				
	33. G-1 D. i Accurately assess college-readiness		40. G-2 C. i Advisory committee handbook				
	34. G-3 C. iiico-curricular programs effectiveness		41. G-1 C. iDevelop aid programs & strategies				
	35. G-3 A. ii—Employee recruitment process		42. G-1 C. iiDevelop aid programs that incentivize				
	36. G-3 B. iiContinuous improvement academy		43. G-2 C. iiEvaluate current advisory panels				
	37. G-2 A. ivEarly intervention H.S. students		44. G-2 D. iAlbany County scanning & needs				
			45. G-3 D. iiiCollege affordability policies (fees)				
Click here to enter text. Score 0, 1, or 2							
I. D.	Tier Four (Low Priority Strategies):						
	46. G-4 A. ivUW Planning Progress & ACC building		53. G-2 D. iiiFaculty and staff community involvement				
	47. G-4 B. iiiModernize data & tech. infrastructure		54. G-2 D. iiStudent engagement w/community				
	48. G-1 A. iiiDesign new need-based acad. programs		55. G-4 C. iiExpand hardscape and landscaping				
	49. G-1 C. iiiPrivate-giving awards/ incentivize completion		56. G-4 C. iComplete façade updates to existing bldgs.				
	50. G-1 A. iiRecruitment for adults w/some college		57. G-4 A. vExpand student housing in Cheyenne	_			
	51. G-3 A. ivNew compensation model for employees		58. G-1 A. iTarget populations under-repres. at LCCC				
	52. G-1 A. ivDevelop an LCCC Online enterprise		59. G-4 B. ii—Expand/renovate recreation facilities				
			60. G-4 A. iiiPlan LCCC Fine & Performing Arts building				
Click here to enter text. Score 0 or 1							

CC Member comments regarding assessed fulfillment/alignment of submitted position with specified criteria components of:

Criteria II: Overall Impact on Teaching, Learning, and Instructional Program Offerings

To score sub-section A., B., and C. for Criteria II, review the information and data provided to assess level of alignment with each element. The highest level of alignment with sufficient data provided to clearly show impact on identified element scores 2 points, low to medium level of alignment scores 1 point, and minimal to no clear alignment represented scores 0 points. To score sub-section D., if there is specific rationale provided indicating an emergent need scores 1 point, if there is no emergent need identified scores 0 points.

* Total Scoring possible for this section = 7 point					
II. A. Impact on Teaching:					
Click here to enter text.					
Score 0, 1, or 2					
II. B. Impact on Learning:					
Click here to enter text.					
Score 0, 1, or 2					
II. C. Impact on Instructional Program of Service Offerings:					
Click here to enter text.					
Score 0, 1, or 2					
II. D. Impact as Response to Emergent Institutional and/or Community Need:					
Click here to enter text.					
Score 0 or 1					
CC Member comments regarding assessed fulfillment/alignment of					
submitted position with specified criteria components of:					
Criteria III: Overall Impact on the Services Provided to Student or the Community					
To score sub-section A. and B. for Criteria III, review the information and data provided to assess level of alignment with each element. The highest level of alignment with sufficient data provided to clearly show impact on identified element scores 3 points, medium level of alignment scores 2 points, low/minimal level of alignment scores 1 point, and no clear alignment represented scores 0 points. To score sub-section C., if there is specific rationale provided indicating an emergent need scores 1 point, if there is no emergent need identified scores 0 points.					
* Total Scoring possible for this section = 7 point					
III. A. Impact on Student/Client/Customer (Internal/External) Satisfaction Levels:					
Click here to enter text.					
Score 0, 1, 2, or 3					
Score 0, 1, 2, 01 3					

III. B. Impact on Services Provided to Students/Campus Community/External Community:	
Click here to enter text.	
C 0. 4. 2 2	0
Score 0, 1, 2, or 3	
III. C. Impact as Response to Emergent Institutional and/or Community Need:	
Click here to enter text.	
Score 0 or 1	0
CC Member comments regarding assessed fulfillment/alignment of	
submitted position with specified criteria components of:	
Criteria IV: Operational Efficiency, Implementation Considerations,	
Impact on Overall Campus Productivity	
pace on orange of the same	
To score sub-section A. for Criteria IV, review the information and data provided to assess level of identifiable impo	
on productivity so that the highest level of alignment with sufficient data provided scores 2 points, low to medium	
of alignment scores 1 point, and no clear alignment represented scores 0 points. To score sub-section B. for criterio	
consider included identifiable feasibility elements with fully considered, planned for, reasonable feasibility scores a medium to low scores 1 point, and no clear consideration of feasibility impact scores a 0. To score sub-section C., i	
there is specific rationale provided indicating consideration of productivity enhancements (return on investment) si	
1 point, if there is no apparent/identified gain scores 0 points.	
* Total Scoring possible for this section = 5	
IV. A. Impact on overall productivity, effectiveness, efficiencies within work area and/or workflow result	ting
in workload to internal customers:	
Click here to enter text.	
Score 0, 1, or 2	0
IV. B. Feasibility of Implementation:	
Click here to enter text.	
Score 0, 1, or 2	U
IV. C. Expected "Return on Position Investment":	
Click here to enter text.	
Score 0 or 1	0
3.00.0 0.1	

OVERALL COMMENTS:

Click here to enter text.

Add all section scoring. Enter the total score value here: Click here to enter text.

Completed by College Council Member: *Click here to enter text.* on: Click here to enter a date.